ASPC Meeting 7:00 PM

Cognia Standards

Cognia Performance Standards Effective July 1, 2022

Quality characteristics Four key characteristics are evident when institutions effectively adopt the Cognia Performance Standards and engage in Cognia's peer review process for accreditation and continuous improvement.

<u>CULTURE OF LEARNING</u>: the institution's focus on the challenges, joys, and opportunities for learning, and the coherence with its mission and vision

LEADERSHIP FOR LEARNING: the responsibility of an institution's leaders to influence and impact all aspects of the institution in positive ways

ENGAGEMENT OF LEARNING: the inclusion of all learners in the learning process, and their development of confidence and love of learning

<u>GROWTH IN LEARNING</u>: the growth of learners in the programs and curricula provided by the institution and their readiness to successfully transition to next levels of learning

These characteristics serve as our model for identifying and discussing school and system quality. Additional information will be available to members to show how the standards align with these characteristic

ASCD

ASSOCIATION FOR SUPERVISION AND CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT

March 18 – 21 Chicago Illinois



<u>MID Year Data</u>

I-READY

RELA Coach Report- Mrs.Buckley

		State of RELA at The Main Street Academy Semester 1
Semester	1 F	ocus:
	1.	Revamping the Balanced Literacy Program
	2.	Improving Teacher Capacity and Effectiveness
	3.	Tracking and Using Data to Inform Literacy Changes
Balanced		Through guidance and collaboration with Dr. Walton, the literacy team has
Literacy		worked to build and re-establish a Balanced Literacy Program aimed at fostering
Program		student mastery of the Georgia Standards of Excellence for RELA.
		1. <u>Standards Based Instructional Framework</u> (SBIF)
		-The literacy team worked to align the instructional segment for reading to
		the guidelines given by the administrators within the SBIF above. This meant adjusting planning as well as actual instruction. Teachers worked in
		grade bands to delve deeper into what the shift would mean and how it
		would look on a daily basis. Evidence is provided in this <u>Sample Jigsaw</u>
		Activity.
		2. Daily 5 Implementation
		-To meet our goals for balanced literacy, RELA teachers will be making
		the transition to a modified version of Daily 5 the week of January 17.
		Daily 5 is a literacy framework that instills behaviors of independence,
		creates a classroom of highly engaged readers, writers, and learners, and
		provides teachers with the time and structure to meet diverse student
		needs. Because it holds no curricular content, it can be used to meet any
		school, district, state, or national standards.
		-The modified Daily 5 at TMSA will include Guided Reading, Work on Writing, Word Work, and Read-to-Self as small groups implemented
		during the work session in alignment to the SBIF. Teachers will also have
		the freedom to include a fifth small group at teacher discretion.
		3. Writing Workshop Wednesdays
		-In addition to Daily 5 being implemented, everyone school wide will
		participate in Writing Workshop Wednesdays. Teachers will use the 90
		minute instructional block for RELA to teach nothing but writing.
		-Writing Workshop Wednesdays will be taught through small groups as
		well and will include Guided Writing, Work on Writing, Grammar and
		Conventions, and Peer or Para Conferencing.
		Why the shift?
		This will help us meet our balanced literacy goals and provide a framework that is
		consistent for all teachers and students across the board. It will also facilitate
		individualized learning and minimize teaching to the middle ability range by
		allowing the teacher to plan for and meet the various ability levels present within
		their classes. Lastly, it will target the areas that we have traditionally shown a
		weakness in like writing instruction, direct instruction around vocabulary, as well
		as reading stamina.

F	
Teacher	The major focus in this area has been improving teacher capacity and
	effectiveness around data usage and using it to facilitate planning and
Effectiveness	
	 Teachers have participated in a combined 160 hours of
	professional learning using data from I-Ready as well as Cycle
	assessments to plan for instruction and flexibly group their students
	according to academic readiness and individual needs. Please see
	some of the sample data tools below.
	a. I-Ready and Baseline Data
	b. Cycle Data
	c. Write Score Data
	2. Teachers have also been given the opportunity to participate in
	ongoing coaching cycles to work on individual elements for
	improvement within their practices. Please see the coaching cycle
	facilitation documents below.
	a. Pre-Coaching Cycle Reflection
	b. Pre-Coaching Cycle Meeting Notes
Semester 1	I-Ready Fall I-Ready Mid-Year
Data Trends	
	Overall School Performance Overall School Performance
	Uveral Facement Budies Assess Tax 194196 Budies Assess Text 194196
	10. 10. 45. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.
	anto das lator a lator a lator antigan das a lator das das antigan das a lator das das das das das das das das d
	William Villiam Internet United Internet
	* Reset is basis
	P Patrice queen
	Perio (1) Big/Angung Res (1) Big
	temphone Months/M (V) temphone Months/M (V)
	Grade Level Breakdown
	Grade Level Breakdown
	basi pi p
	Solid Solid <th< td=""></th<>
	5463 1h 2h
	basht ID ID <thi< td=""></thi<>
	Book1 Dis Dis <thdis< th=""> <thdis< td="" th<=""></thdis<></thdis<>
	Solution 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015 2015
	L Deady Data Analysia
	I-Ready Data Analysis-
	In comparison to the initial I-Ready benchmark in August, students have achieved
	remarkable gains in the area of RELA. The only outlier in this instance is Grade
	6. The weakest areas of performance are in vocabulary and comprehension, with
	comprehension of informational texts showing the lowest percentage of mastery.
	This is to be expected since there have been holes in our direct vocabulary
	instruction which ultimately equates to the ability to comprehend texts. These
1	areas will be addressed through the consistent implementation of Daily 5.
	a sas minos addressed aneagh are sensiblent implementation of Dally C.
	Write Score Data

As evidenced by the data from the November Write Score administration, special and intentional action must be carried out in order to strengthen our writing instruction and student performance towards mastery in this area. This supports the move to Writing Workshop Wednesdays to address the deficits in writing and allow time to really provide writing instruction. Within Daily 5 as well as the Writing Workshop Wednesdays, students will receive targeted instruction around grammar and conventions as well as the writing process to help them improve their writing mechanics and become better writers.

The Way Forward:

1. Provide support around implementation and consistent practice with Daily

5. Classroom observations will be conducted explicitly during the "Work Session" to ensure that small groups are being done and that the tasks within small group time align with the Daily 5.

2. Data analysis will continue to be done after each Cycle Assessment.

3. Teachers will continue to participate in professional learning with emphasis placed on Writing Instruction. These learning opportunities will be extended to Science and Social Studies teachers as well to promote and facilitate writing across content areas.

4. Specific teachers have been selected to be a part of TMSA Curriculum Selection Task Force as we vet new reading programs for the upcoming year in alignment to the direction that Fulton County is heading in.

5. Paraprofessionals will begin receiving professional learning on how to support and lead small groups.



Switch Table View Show Results By Placement Summary Grade							
Showing 9 of 9 Grade	Overall Grade-Level Placement	۵ پ	• ≎	• 🗘	• ≎	\$ \$	Students Assessed/7
Grade K		46%	30%	23%	0%	0%	69/69
Grade 1	82	21%	11%	63%	5%	0%	87/92
Grade 2		27%	15%	43%	16%	0%	94/94
Grade 3		24%	35%	15%	18%	7%	99/99
Grade 4		13%	18%	45%	8%	16%	89/98
Grade 5		13%	26%	37%	13%	12%	86/89
Grade 6		19%	9%	24%	21%	28%	102/106
Grade 7		23%	25%	26%	6%	20%	81/92
Grade 8		36%	22%	23%	5%	14%	92/99

Math Coach Report- Mr. Adebesi

State of Math at The Main Street Academy					
		-			
	2021-2	022			
Semester	1 Focus:				
	Discourse (Using Number Talks in during dai				
	Data (developing a process for communicatin				
Talks	 wondering what students the previous yes push student's understanding, thinking, a mathematical problems. Number Talks Understanding quantities and nume students, yet many of them don't reamath, and worse, thinking they are rusing procedures correctly, they hav whether the process makes sense to sense at all. The result is that student distrust it. To allow a space for students to teachers designated a day to Num teachers create and implemented 	ted by their students' lack of success and bar did, we aimed to implement strategies to and ability to confidently engage in wrical relationships is within the grasp of all alize it. Students come to us fearing and avoiding to good at it. Believing that math is mostly about the learned to focus on getting the right answer, them. Many students don't expect math to make ts learn to disengage their reasoning- and even come up with and justify their thinking aber Talks. Using the attached document Number Talks lessons to their students. ekly with the goal moving to daily.			
Data	needed to have a method for determ lacking, and after some instruction, unit tests teacher can assess students instruction. By using the attached U understanding of which standards st then use the data form to create next coach. - Along with unit test teachers will o	cal in the teacher decision making process. We ining what students already know, what they are whether students made any progress. With pre/post s' understanding and use the information to guide nit Test Data Form teachers had a firm udents demonstrated proficiency on. Teachers will steps that will be discussed with the instructional compare student results to their I-Ready diagnostics which will be discussed during data conversations			
Semester	I-Ready Fall	I-Ready Mid-Year			
1 Data					
Trends	Overall School Performance	Overall School Performance			

	Overall Placement						Overall Placement Students Assessed/Total:	788/840				
	Students Assessed/Total: 290/840	505. 209.	145				10%	19%	48%	12%	12%	
	- Mit an international and international	0019 2019					Mid or Above Grade Level	🝵 Early On Grade Level 😑 One Grade Level Below 🍵 Tiv	o Grade Levels Below	Three or More Gra	ie Levels Below	
	27 Students 01 Stu	deven 206 Studieven 159 Studieven 12	1 Students			ŀ	75 Students	146 Students 377 Students 94	Students	96 Students		
		15 11										
	Grade Le	vel Breakdown					~					
								vel Breakdown				
							Grade K		34%	24%	43%	0
	Grade K		12%	12%	77%	0%	Grade 1	<i>\///</i>	9%	17%	63%	1
	Grade 1		4%	5%	74%	18%	Grade 2		9%	17%	61%	14
	Grade 2		3%	5%	56%	35%	Grade 3		5%	26%	54%	8
	Grade 3		1%	7%	47%	30%	Grade 4		7%	22%	36%	2
	Grade 4		1%	14%	42%	18%	Grade 5		8%	15%	47%	1
	Grade 5		2%	15%	57%	12%	Grade 6		5%	15%	39%	1
	Grade 6		3%	15%	34%	19%	Grade 7		6%	20%	39%	1
	Grade 7		1%	15%	37%	23%	Grade 8		9%	11%	46%	
	Grade 8		5%	5%	35%	19%						
	above gra and reds (different o	de level) increased students 2 or more domains we are we	l by 15% grade l eakest ir	6, ai level n Ge	nd b ls be ome	oth t low etry f	he numb) decreas followed	e percentage of stude er of yellows (studer ed by 3% and 12%. by Measurement wits s jump in grades 4-8	nts 1 gra In look th about	ade l ing a t 20%	belov at the 6 of	w) e
	expected	as most of the upp	er grade	s wi	ill ac	ldre	ss geome	try and/or Measuren	nent dui	ing	the 2	2 ⁿ
	-		•				•	t be placed on grade		-		
	beiliebter.	Despite the impro	vement	e ur e	lui e	atter	mon mus	t be placed on glade	s 5-0 as	s uic		
								1 0		suic		
X 7.	number o							e higher the grade le				
	number o ay Forward:	f students perform	ing on g	grade	e ten	ids t	o drop the	e higher the grade le	vel.			
	number o ay Forward: Monitor Nu	f students perform	ing on g bservat	grade	e ten day	ids te	o drop the	e higher the grade le hers in advance) and	vel.		or	
	number o ay Forward: Monitor Nu moving fro	f students perform umber Talks with o m weekly Number	ing on g bservat Talks t	grade ions o Da	e ten day aily	i <u>ds t</u> v (se Nun	o drop the nt to teac nber Talk	e higher the grade le hers in advance) and s Data	vel. I a meth	od f		
7:	number o ay Forward: Monitor Nu moving fro Incorporate	f students perform umber Talks with o m weekly Number data into making	bservat Talks tflexible	ions o Da gro	e ten day aily ups	ds to v (se Nun that	o drop the nt to teac nber Talk will be u	e higher the grade le hers in advance) and s Data sed at least twice a v	vel. l a meth veek foi	10d f r 451	nins	
	ay Forward: Monitor Nu moving fro Incorporate Teachers w	f students perform umber Talks with o m weekly Number data into making ill take a more acti	bbservat Talks t flexible	ions o Da gro in sl	e ten day aily ups harii	v (se Nun that	o drop the nt to teac nber Talk will be u est practi	e higher the grade le hers in advance) and s Data	vel. l a meth veek for Teache	nod f r 451 rs w	nins	

4. Incorporate paras more into the instructional practices

Math-

		88/840				
	10%	19%		48%	12%	12%
	Mid or Above Grade Level	 Early On Grade Level 	 One Grade Level Below 	Two Grade Levels Below	Three or More Grade Le	vels Below
	75 Students	146 Students	377 Students	94 Students	96 Students	
				(i) The Mapping Between	5-Level and 3-Level P	lacement
Placement by	Domain					
	1					
	Number and Operations (NO) Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (ALG)					
	Measurement and Data (MS) 💋				111111.	aaaaa
	Geometry (GEO) 💋				annın.	
	Overall Placement Students Assessed/Total	: 790/840				
	3% 10%			50%	20%	16%
		Early On Grade Level	One Grade Level Below			
	3% 10%	 Early On Grade Level 81 Students 	 One Grade Level Below 396 Students 	50% Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	20%	
	Mid or Above Grade Level	•	• •	Two Grade Levels Below	Three or More Grade Leve 127 Students	els Below
 Placement 	 Mid or Above Grade Level 27 Students 	•	• •	Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	Three or More Grade Leve 127 Students	els Below
 Placement 	 Mid or Above Grade Level 27 Students 	81 Students	• •	Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	Three or More Grade Leve 127 Students	als Below
 Placement 	Mid or Above Grade Level 27 Students	81 Students	• •	Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	Three or More Grade Level 127 Students 5-Level and 3-Level Pla	als Below
▼ Placement	Mid or Above Grade Level 27 Students t by Domain	81 Students	• •	Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	Three or More Grade Level 127 Students 5-Level and 3-Level Pla	acement
 Placement 	Mid or Above Grade Level 27 Students 2 by Domain Number and Operations (No) 2 Algebra and Algebraic Thinking (AL2) 2	81 Students	• •	Two Grade Levels Below 159 Students	Three or More Grade Leve 127 Students 5-Level and 3-Level Pla	acement

Placement Summary Grad	iesults By Je						
Showing 9 of 9 Grade	Overall Grade-Level Placement	\$ \$	• ≎	• ≎	• ≎	۵ پ	Students Assessed/Total
Grade K		12%	12%	77%	0%	0%	69/69
Grade 1		4%	5%	74%	18%	0%	85/92
Grade 2		3%	5%	56%	35%	0%	94/96
Grade 3		1%	7%	47%	30%	14%	97/99
Grade 4		1%	14%	42%	18%	24%	90/98
Grade 5		2%	15%	57%	12%	14%	81/89
Grade 6		3%	15%	34%	19%	29%	95/106
Grade 7		1%	15%	37%	23%	23%	86/92
Grade 8		5%	5%	35%	19%	34%	93/99

Learning Interventions							
Virtual Saturday School	Beginning January 22 nd						
Virtual Tutorial	Beginning January week of January 24 th						
Summer Program	Summer 2022						
Small Group Instruction	Differentiated lesson planning that supports acceleration using small group delivery models inside the standards-based instructional framework. Protected instructional time in the morning starting at 7:30 am, flex time and afternoon time during dismissal.						
<u>Task Force</u>	These school taskforces are designed to gain input from staff members in assessing, providing input, and redesigning of processing critical of school performance.						
	Literacy Task Force - Buckley						
	IOT (Internet Of Things) - Abdul-Salaam						
	Scheduling Task Force – Avery/Mitchell						
	Math Task Force - Adebisi						
<u>COVID</u>	Support a requirement for weekly testing for staff members during the COVID crisis. This will make staff members feel safe and to provide on sight surveillance on community spread inside our school community.						

State of the School Address February 3[,] 2022 6PM – 7PM